Psed 12/17/9809.20A.M In John Bracky

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Volume: 6

PAGES: 665 through 864

PLACE: Washington, D.C.

DATE: October 23, 1998

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, D.C.
(202) 628-4888

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of:

HICKS BROADCASTING OF INDIANA, LLC

Order to Show Cause Why the
License for FM Radio Station
WRBR(FM), South Bend, Indiana,
Should Not Be Revoked;

AND

PATHFINDER COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

Order to Show Cause Why the
License for FM Radio Station
WBYT(FM), Elkhart, Indiana
Should Not Be Revoked;

Courtroom 1, Room 227 FCC Building 2000 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Friday, October 23, 1998

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: HON. JOSEPH CHACHKIN
Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Mass Media Bureau:

JAMES SHOOK, ESQ.
ROY W. BOYCE, ESQ.
KATHRYN S. BERTHOT, ESQ.
Mass Media Bureau
Enforcement Division
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, Northwest
Suite 731F
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1454

On Behalf of Hicks Broadcasting:

ERIC T. WERNER, ESQ.
ERWIN G. KRASNOW, ESQ
DOUGLAS W. HALL, ESQ.
Verner Liipfert, Bernhard, McPherson & Hand
901 15th Street, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20005-2301
(202) 371-6062

On Behalf of Pathfinder:

MICHAEL J. GUZMAN. ESQ.
ERIC L. BERNTHAL, ESQ.
EVERETT C. JOHNSON, JR., ESQ.
ALLEN GARDNER, ESQ.
Latham & Watkins
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
Suite 1300
Washington, D.C. 20004-2505
(202) 637-2200

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

On Behalf of Niles Broadcasting:

WILLIAM CRISPIN, ESQ. Crispin & Brenner, P.L.L.C 901 15th Street, Northwest Suite 440 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 828-0155

On Behalf of Edward J. Sackley III:

KATHRYN R. SCHMELTZER, ESQ.
Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader
& Zaragoza, L.L.P.
2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
Suite 402
Washington, D. C. 20006-1851
(202) 775-3547

INDEX

WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR <u>DIRE</u>
Robert A. Watson (Resumes)	661				

<u>E X H I B I T S</u>

	<u>IDENTIFIED</u>	RECEIVED	REJECTED
Mass Media Bureau:			
63	(Prev.)	687	
64	(Prev.)	688	
65	(Prev.)	689	
67	(Prev.)	703	
74	(Prev.)	722	
75	(Prev.)	723	- -
76	(Prev.)	729	
77	(Prev.)	733	
78	(Prev.)	736	
79	(Prev.)	739	
81	(Prev.)	741	
82	(Prev.)	741	
83	(Prev.)	745	
84	(Prev.)	745	
112	(Prev.)	763	
85	(Prev.)	765	
69	(Prev.)	769	
70	(Prev.)	769	 -
86	(Prev.)	770	
108	(Prev.)	779	
68	(Prev.)	782	
90	(Prev.)	830	
102	(Prev.)	853	
109	(Prev.)	857	
107	(Prev.)	860	- -
	(FICA.)	000	

Hearing Began: 9:00 a.m. Hearing Ended: 3:35 p.m. Recess Began: 12:50 p.m. Recess Ended: 2:00 p.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Back on the record.
3	Let's continue.
4	MR. SHOOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	Whereupon,
6	ROBERT A. WATSON
7	having been previously duly sworn, was recalled as a witness
8	herein, and was examined and testified further as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumes)
10	BY MR. SHOOK:
11	Q Mr. Watson, the Judge has expressed to us his
12	concern yesterday about what relationship, if any, you might
13	have with Mr. Dille, so I'm going to take a little time now
14	to go over that.
15	I don't think that we asked yesterday, your know,
16	where your business office is located. Could you tell us
17	that, please?
18	A My office is in Elkhart, Indiana, in the Communa-
19	Kana Building, and it happens to be a building which we own,
20	and it also contains the Elkhart Truth, the newspaper. It's
21	in that building also.
22	Q Where is Mr. Dille's office in relation to yours?
23	A It's on the same floor, a ways apart. Twenty-five
24	yards apart, maybe or 50 years apart.
25	Q Big building there?

- 1 A Um-hmm, it is. Six stories, and our offices are 2 on the second floor.
- 3 Q Now, how long has that been the case?
- 4 A It's been that, approximately, a little switching
- of offices, but that distance you mean, for a little over 20
- 6 years.
- 7 Q How often during the course of the day would you
- 8 communicate either by phone or face to face with Mr. Dille?
- 9 A Oh, that would vary all over the place. There are
- some days that we'll see each other three or four times, and
- there have been times I won't see him for two or three days,
- 12 a couple of days; depending on our schedules and other
- 13 things. It just varies, and I don't -- I don't necessarily
- 14 speak to him every day. Even when he's there, we don't; you
- 15 know, just different, different schedules.
- 16 Q Now, has this pattern been pretty much the same
- 17 over the period of time that your offices have been on the
- 18 same floor or has it varied?
- 19 A Well, before me being in the last say, the last
- 20 eight or 10 years, prior to that I had my predecessor. He
- 21 probably spent more time with my predecessor than he did
- 22 with me. The last eight, seven or eight, 10 years, my
- 23 predecessor left, and then, of course, he spent more time
- 24 with me.
- Q Well, why don't we focus then on the last, you

- 1 said was it eight to 10 years?
- A About, yeah, eight to 10 years, I'd say, last 10
- 3 years he spent more time with me.
- 4 Q Now, how -- could you give a rough percentage in
- 5 terms of how many of your conversation would pertain to the
- 6 operation of Pathfinder's radio stations?
- 7 A Well, probably -- I don't know about
- 8 conversations. That would strictly be a guess, but maybe 65
- 9 percent, something like that.
- 10 Q And, you know, what --
- 11 A That's just an estimate.
- 12 Q Sure, understood.
- What would be -- what would be the nature of the
- 14 things that you would talk about, subject areas?
- 15 A It's all over, all over the board. We'd talk
- about operations, profitability, sales, sometimes he would
- want an analysis prepared. I mean, anything that a CFO
- would talk about to the president, just normal things.
- 19 Q Well, forgive me. I haven't occupied such a
- 20 position so I really don't have any idea what a CFO would
- 21 normally talk to a CEO about.
- 22 A Well, finances. I would talk to him about the
- bank borrowings that there might be a need for. Of course,
- 24 we work together on that with the banks. Forecasts, cash
- 25 flow forecasts; anything to do with the finances of the

- business basically.
- Q Would you discuss personnel matters with him?
- 3 A Sometimes.
- 4 Q Would you ever discuss personnel matters with him
- 5 in terms of, you know, the operations of any of the radio
- 6 stations?
- 7 A Sometimes.
- 8 Q And what might come up?
- 9 A Well, it could be any number of things. I mean,
- 10 he might -- I mean, to give you an example, the general
- sales manager in Fort Wayne just got another job, and John
- told me about it when he announced when he was resigning,
- and we talked about it for awhile. He's got to come up with
- 14 a plan for what to do there now, and we talked about all
- 15 that. I mean, that's all.
- 16 Q Given your respective positions, I take it, it
- would be normal topic of conversation to discuss the
- 18 performance of station general matters?
- 19 A The performance, is that what you said?
- 20 Q Yes, sir.
- 21 A Yeah, some, some, but it's -- he discusses the
- 22 direct problems more with them. I mean, if he thinks there
- is a problem, he would go and talk to them about it.
- Would I ever hear about something or through him?
- 25 Yeah, possibly.

1	Q	And then you mentioned that the topic of the
2	general	sales manager might come up?
3	A	Yeah, I mean, we talk we talk about general

- 4 subjects. I mean, he sometimes uses me as a sounding board,
- 5 and so we'll talk about things, and then he makes a
- 6 decision.
- 7 Q Now, over the course of the last -- it would be
- 8 four and a half years now, from April 1, 1994, would you
- 9 ever talk with him about WRBR?
- 10 A Did we ever talk about RBR? I'm sure the subject
- 11 come up, but very little. I mean, very, very little. I
- mean, I would never go to him on any -- almost nothing.
- Q Would you talk about the sales performance of WRBR
- given that there was that one sales agreement and that, you
- know, Pathfinder employees were responsible for sales?
- A We may have talked about that, yes. The GSA, we
- 17 talked about, and the joint sales, yes.
- 18 Q Do you remember anything in particular that you
- 19 would talk about relative to the joint sales agreement?
- 20 A Gee-whiz, that's a pretty open -- open ended.
- I mean, I talked about, for example, there was an
- 22 amendment to that. We discussed that at the time. You
- 23 know, I'm sure that early on we talked about the joint sales
- 24 agreement, that the sales were not where we had hoped they
- would be, and we talked about that; and certainly what

- 1 Steve was doing to fix those kind of problems, such as
- 2 hiring more salespeople, and getting more veteran
- 3 salespeople, and they changed general sales managers.
- So, I mean, did we talk in general those problems,
- 5 those questions? Yes is the answer; sure.
- Q Did you and Mr. Dille talk about the change that
- 7 Mr. Kline effectuated in terms of having the salespeople
- 8 sell the stations individually as opposed to selling them
- 9 together?
- 10 A It's very possible we talked about it, or he
- informed me, or Steve informed me. I mean, I knew that it
- was happening. And it's very likely that we talked about
- all of that, but there was hours on hours of discussion, of
- 14 course, between Steve and John on that subject, and that was
- 15 a significant change, and they would have discussed that and
- 16 made, you know, made the decision.
- 17 JUDGE CHACHKIN: What are the responsibilities of
- 18 a chief financial officer?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Well --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: It covers the entire gamut. It's
- 21 anything relating to the financing --
- 22 THE WITNESS: Anything to do --
- 23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- financial outputs of the
- 24 corporation?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Anything to do with the

- 1 finances.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: So every aspect of the station's
- 3 operation concerns the financial health of the company,
- 4 doesn't it?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Is there any aspect of the
- 7 corporation that you would not be involved in?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Well, I really don't get involved in
- 9 the sales area or anything like that. I mean, I don't --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'm talking about the bottom line
- 11 thought.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I'm concerned about the bottom line,
- but I don't get involved in any decisions relating to sales
- or programming, I'd say engineering, those area, I don't
- 15 have anything to do.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Unless it involves the bottom
- 17 line, of course?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: And so you are involved to that
- 20 extent?
- 21 THE WITNESS: I'm involved to the extent that we
- 22 might discuss the lack of sales and what -- you know, what
- 23 is the potential to turn things around, and that kind of
- 24 thing. But how they actually go about it, I don't really
- 25 get involved in that.

- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Do you get involved in any
- 2 acquisitions of the corporation?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I do look at
- 4 acquisitions, and I look at documents relating to
- 5 acquisitions and that kind of stuff.
- 6 JUDGE CHACHKIN: So it would be normal for you to
- 7 be concerned with the proposal to -- in connection with
- 8 WRBR, since it did involve your corporation as well, did it
- 9 not?
- THE WITNESS: Well, we did look at RBR and, of
- 11 course, when Pathfinder was going to purchase it, and we
- 12 looked at it quite a little bit as to -- and made the
- 13 decision that we would like to.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: And as far as the arrangement to
- allow, for instance, instead of having to pay for expenses,
- 16 for Mr. Hicks to pay expenses, to have it treated by you on
- 17 the books as a -- what was it, a receivable? But that was
- 18 your decision, you participated in that decision?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
- 20 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Is it fair to say that you're the
- 21 chief advisor to Mr. Dille concerning the corporation's
- 22 affairs, and you have been that since you became chief
- 23 financial officer?
- 24 THE WITNESS: With respect to finances, yes. Yes,
- 25 sir.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Go ahead, counsel.
2	MR. SHOOK: We're going to resume basically where
3	we were.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, let me let me indulge
5	me.
6	You started the corporation 20 years ago?
7	THE WITNESS: I started working for both
8	Pathfinder and Truth Publishing Company 20 years ago.
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: In what capacity?
10	THE WITNESS: I was the what was considered the
11	business manager. Let me explain. We have one business,
12	what we call business office, or call it the accounting
13	department, and they do the accounting for the Truth
14	Publishing Company, which owns the Elkhart Truth Newspaper,
15	and accounting then they do the accounting for Pathfinder
16	Communications Corporation, which owns radio stations, and
17	has varied from since I've been with the company, from
18	about nine to 13 at different times. And then there is a
19	couple of other small real estate venture type deals that we
20	do the accounting for.
21	So I started off in the business office, in charge
22	of the business office department, and reported to the
23	secretary and treasurer at that time, my predecessor. And

but was promoted to an officer of both companies, and I was

after a couple of years, I was -- I had the same position

24

25

- 1 assistant secretary and treasurer.
- 2 And then it was in --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Still business manager?
- 4 THE WITNESS: I was still business manager also.
- And then about 1989, '88 or '89, my predecessor had a
- 6 medical problem, an eye problem, and that started -- and he
- 7 remained on for two to three years after that. But I
- 8 started helping him with a lot more things, of course.
- 9 Then in 1991, he did retire, and I at that time
- 10 became secretary and treasurer.
- 11 JUDGE CHACHKIN: And chief financial officer?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Or excuse me. It was a little
- 13 before that. Even -- I became secretary and treasurer and
- 14 chief financial officer really before he retired because he,
- 15 he actually stayed around for a year or so after he
- relinquished his position as secretary and treasurer.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Have you remained in that
- 18 position ever since?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: And you're also
- 21 secretary/treasurer, and what other position? You're on the
- 22 board or --
- 23 THE WITNESS: I am on -- yes. Now, I'm on the
- 24 board, yes. It's a -- of course, it's a closely held
- 25 company and it's not like we're a public company, and there

- 1 are very, very few shareholders in the companies.
- Mostly, and excuse me, they are all family-
- 3 related.
- 4 JUDGE CHACHKIN: So it's Mr. Dille and his family?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Mr. Dille and his family; his
- 6 sister, mother, used to be his father when his father was
- 7 alive, of the estate. At this moment, we're going between
- 8 the estate and his mother.
- 9 So over the 20 years, I mean, I've got to know the
- 10 family fairly well. I know his children. I mean, I've seen
- 11 them since they were -- used to come to the office and that.
- 12 I know his wife.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Do you have a stock interest?
- 14 THE WITNESS: No, sir. No.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Go ahead, counsel.
- 16 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 17 Q With respect to the operation of the joint sales
- agreement between Pathfinder and then when Booth was the
- 19 entity on the other side, we looked at the joint sales
- agreement a little bit yesterday, and one of the aspects of
- 21 it that I want you to clarify for us is when money would
- 22 come in the operation, it would come into this Radio I
- venture; is that how that worked?
- The money would come into Radio I, and Pathfinder
- _ 25 would account for how much money came in and what the

- 1 related expenses were. And then if there was an excess, if
- the money coming in exceeded the expenses, then at some
- 3 point thereafter there would be a disbursement by Pathfinder
- 4 to Booth, would there not?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q That disbursement would be in the form of a check?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q It would be a check made payable to Booth?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And it was made in accordance with the schedule
- 11 established in the joint sales agreement?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Now, with respect to Booth's expenses outside of
- 14 the sales area, Pathfinder had nothing to do with that, did
- 15 it?
- 16 A No, we didn't.
- 17 O So that if Booth had to pay salaries to its
- 18 programming staff, Booth would simply take care of that
- 19 itself?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q Pathfinder had nothing to do with that?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q Now, did that situation stay the same or differ in
- any way once Hicks became the licensee of WRBR?
- Could you give us an overview of how that worked?

1	A Yes, that did change at that time, that part of
2	it, because also at that time we entered into an accounting
3	services agreement with them. And after talking to counsel,
4	we were advised that it would be okay if we wrote all the
5	checks out of one bank account, and then just charge them to
6	the proper entity.
7	It's due and since we did that, that meant that
8	we were paying all we paid all the bills of Hicks, and
9	then charged it to that entity.
10	So by paying those bills, you think of every time
11	that bill got paid by Pathfinder, whether it was a JOA
12	expense or some other expense, such as programming, it
13	became a receivable from Hicks to Pathfinder just as, and I
14	give you an example then of the revenue. WRBR's portion of
15	that revenue, every time in any given month they split
16	the revenue based upon the formula in the JOA, and that
17	their portion of revenue became a payable to WRBR. So in
18	any given month, they had Hicks had a receivable from
19	Pathfinder for the revenue and a payable to Pathfinder for
20	the expenses, cash expenses. Any expenses that were
21	accrued, of course, never became a receivable until they got
22	paid, and that's the par that did change.
23	Together with the accounting services agreement,
24	and then early on that receivable balance was there was
25	a on various months in early stages of the arrangement,

- there was this receivable balance payable to Pathfinder, and
- then in some months there was this payable from Pathfinder
- 3 to Hicks in some of those months too.
- 4 Q Now, we're going to get --
- 5 A Excuse me. I just wanted to say that if you don't
- 6 understand anything about that, I certainly want to try to
- 7 put it in terms that make it very clear. I want everybody
- 8 to understand.
- 9 Q Well, as we go along, I'll discuss with you some
- 10 specific financial statements and to the extent that things
- are still a little fuzzy, we can get them clarified at that
- 12 point, but what I wanted was basically an overview of how
- 13 things worked.
- Now, continuing with the overview concept, if we
- had a situation where at the end of a given month the books
- 16 would reflect that Pathfinder owed Hicks, let's say for the
- sake of discussion \$20,000, was there any understanding or
- 18 agreement between Hicks and Pathfinder in terms of whether
- 19 that sum would earn any interest, or was there -- or would
- there come a time when interest would be earned given the
- 21 amount of money that was owed?
- 22 A No, we really didn't address that until, I mean,
- 23 until we saw that it was going to be that way for quite
- 24 awhile. And then we addressed how that was to be paid, paid
- 25 to them.

- 1 Q Well, give me a rough idea in terms of when that
- 2 happened. We're probably going to come to it specifically,
- 3 but if you can recall right now. You know, thinking that we
- 4 started on April 1, 1994, with Hicks and Pathfinder as
- 5 licensees of WRBR and WLTA, respectively, how long after
- 6 April 1, 1994, was it before this arrangement came into
- 7 being that you were starting to describe?
- 8 A Well, it never actually started happening until
- 9 1997.
- 10 Q Was there --
- 11 A Now, before that, before that the balance went
- back and forth between a payable and a receivable between
- 13 the companies, and it got as high as -- I think it was
- \$80,000 receivable from Hicks, and then in some periods it
- 15 was wiped out, and then in some periods -- in other words,
- it became a -- there was a payable to them in some of those
- periods too, and I don't have that in front of me, but
- 18 you've seen it.
- 19 O Right. No, and as I said, when we -- as we'll go
- along chronologically, we'll get to some of those.
- 21 A Right.
- 22 Q But the --
- 23 A And since then, okay, that is also -- at that time
- that is when we did make an amendment to the joint sales
- 25 agreement and memorialized how that procedure was going to

- 1 happen, that payment, okay.
- Now, just so you know, starting in April '96, we,
- if there was ever a period that Hicks owed Pathfinder money,
- 4 that balance had to be paid within 30 days, and that was
- 5 starting in April of '96.
- And then in -- then in 1997, we memorialized how
- 7 that payment -- we actually, even though that part didn't
- 8 get memorialized for some reason, it didn't get in the
- 9 amendment, it is the procedure and has been since April '96,
- 10 the payment from Pathfinder to Hicks, how that money gets
- paid was memorialized in that amendment, and it gets paid
- basically in two installments at 50 and 70-day mark,
- something like that, 60 and 90-day mark.
- 14 Q Now, that concept, though, really is pretty much
- 15 the same as what existed in the original joint sales
- agreement, isn't it, the concept of the payment?
- 17 A That concept -- that concept of how that positive
- 18 operating balance was going to get paid to Hicks, yes, that
- is the same -- similar concept to the previous agreement;
- 20 that's correct.
- 21 Q All right. And as I said, we'll go over some
- 22 specifics as we get to various financial statements.
- When we broke yesterday, we were look at Mass
- Media Bureau Exhibit 63, and you will notice with respect to
- 25 the letter there are several things that were included with

- 1 it. One of which was a document called the "Unanimous
- Written Consent."
- Now, with respect to that, I'd like to direct your
- 4 attention to Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 100, and that's in
- 5 the third volume. If you don't have that, we can get it for
- 6 you.
- 7 A I think I have it.
- 8 Q Now, you'll notice that the document essentially
- 9 appears in two pages. The first page including three
- signatures, and the fourth page having the fourth person's
- 11 signature.
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q Did you have any role in preparing the signatures
- 14 of the Dille children?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 0 What did you do?
- 17 A I -- I -- well, as I indicated, I was kind of a
- point of coordination for some of these documents, and I had
- 19 to get Glenn to sign it, he was in town, Sarah, I think was
- in town, Alec was in Arizona at the university, and so I had
- 21 to get them to sign it, or I had to coordinate their
- 22 signature on it.
- 23 O All right, now, if you would, please, just read to
- yourself the third full paragraph in Exhibit 100, the first
- 25 page.

1 Do you see where it makes reference to the 2 purchase agreement? 3 Α Yes. 4 Do you know whether or not the Dille children at Q any time prior to the signing of this unanimous consent had 5 6 reviewed the purchase agreement? I can't say with -- positive, that I'm positive 7 8 that they did. I would most likely say they probably did not, but I don't know that, but I do not know that for sure, 9 and I really don't know what they read and what they didn't. 10 If they read it, I didn't read it. I have no knowledge of 11 12 the reading it. 13 JUDGE CHACHKIN: When you secured their signature, you didn't give them a copy of the purchase agreement to 14 review? 15 16 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. 17 MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, the Bureau moves Exhibit 18 63 into evidence? 19 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Any objection? 20 MR. WERNER: No, Your Honor. 21 JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, the Bureau's 63 is 22 received. 23 // 24 // 25 //

1	(The document referred to,
2	having been previously marked
3	for identification as MMB
4	Exhibit No. 63, was received
5	into evidence.)
6	BY MR. SHOOK:
7	Q Mr. Watson, I want to direct your attention to
8	Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 64.
9	Did you receive the fax cover sheet and
10	accompanying material?
11	A I assume I did, yes.
12	Q I mean, you have no reason to believe you did not?
13	A No, not at all.
14	Q And would it have been your recollection that you
15	reviewed the material in the fax?
16	A Yeah, I guess so. I mean, I don't remember it
17	right now, but sure. I mean, it was sent to me. I would
18	have reviewed it and I'm sure Rick Brown would have reviewed
19	it.
20	Q And your review was basically on behalf of the
21	Dille children, correct?
22	A That's correct.
23	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, the Bureau moves Exhibit
24	64 into evidence?
25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Mr. Werner, any objection?
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1	MR. WERNER: No objection from Hicks, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Exhibit 64 is received.
3	(The document referred to,
4	having been previously marked
5	for identification as MMB
6	Exhibit No. 64 was received
7	into evidence.)
8	MR. JOHNSON: None from Pathfinder, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
10	BY MR. SHOOK:
11	Q Directing your attention to Exhibit 65, I noticed
12	from the first page of the fax that it is not directed to
13	you. However, if you look at page 2 of the exhibit, the
14	letter there shows a cc to you.
15	Do you have any recollection of receiving this
16	material, sir?
17	A No, I don't have any recollection, but I may have.
18	I mean, it had to do with this operating agreement, and
19	editing of any of it. I assume I received this.
20	Q Well, going back in time here, there appears to be
21	a real flurry of activity on March 31, 1994. That was the
22	day of the closing, wasn't it?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q And the operating agreement itself was essentially
25	being finalized on that day?

1	A Apparently.
2	Q The number of drafts going back and forth until
3	everybody was satisfied?
4	A Well, I don't know about a number of drafts. I
5	mean, I've got to believe that this was a pretty early
6	final. I mean, this may have been the final one, so I don't
7	know about a number.
8	Q All right, but what we what we have gone over
9	is consistent with what you remember in terms of faxes going
10	back and forth, and you would have to review the final
11	language of the operating agreement?
12	A Yes.
13	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, the Bureau moves Exhibit
14	65 in.
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Any objection?
16	MR. JOHNSON: None, Your Honor.
17	MR. WERNER: None from Hicks, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 65 is received.
19	(The document referred to,
20	having been previously marked
21	for identification as MMB
22	Exhibit No. 65, was received
23	into evidence.)
24	BY MR. SHOOK:
25	Q Now, you may be relieved to know that we're not
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

- going to go back into Volume 2 much anymore. You can spend,
- 2 you can put that one away for now.
- I want you to look at Exhibit 67, which is the
- 4 first one in the third volume. Now, what we have is a one-
- 5 page letter here.
- 6 That is your signature?
- 7 A Yes, it is.
- 8 Q Now, if you could provide us your understanding of
- 9 the source of your authority for taking the action that is
- 10 reflected in this document.
- 11 A Well, this would have been one of the things that
- 12 I discussed with Dave Hicks, one of the many things that we
- talked about toward the end of February or March, and
- 14 thinking that the grant, that he would get the grant at some
- 15 point in the fairly near future, he had to get set up to do
- 16 business just like on all the other things that we've talked
- 17 about. One of them, we had to have insurance. And as -- as
- 18 he had asked us to do the accounting services, and we, after
- 19 talking to Alan, agreed to do that, and we -- I considered
- this part of the accounting services, he asked me.
- 21 And he also was thinking that he could get a
- 22 little better rate if he -- if he would go with our
- insurance, and I'm sure he did. It probably cost him a
- 24 little more having gone -- if he had to get it on his own.
- 25 Q Now, other than the accounting agreement that

- 1 you've already talked about, and the memo to the files, that
- 2 March memo that's dated March 4, which you dictated March 2,
- 3 was there any written directive from Mr. Hicks to the effect
- 4 that you should deal with what's reflected in Mass Media
- 5 Bureau Exhibit 67?
- 6 A No.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, what hat were you wearing
- 8 when you -- it sounds to me by looking at this thing that
- 9 you were acting as chief financial officer of Mr. Hicks'
- 10 station?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Well, I certainly didn't mean to
- 12 suggest that, no.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, you have projected --
- 14 THE WITNESS: Well --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- estimates of revenue. What
- does that have to do with accounting?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Well --
- 18 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Isn't that the role of la
- 19 financial officer, to make such estimates, make such
- 20 projections?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Well, to get insurance for the
- 22 company that he asked me to do --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Why was he asking you to get
- 24 insurance for the company? Why did --
- THE WITNESS: He had to have it, and, you know,

- 1 we --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: I understand he had to have it,
- 3 but the question is why was he using you? I mean, what role
- 4 did -- were you an employee of the RBR Radio?
- 5 THE WITNESS: No, through the accounting service
- 6 agreement, we were kind of an independent contractor, and as
- 7 an extension of that he asked me to see if we could get
- 8 insurance, and it seemed -- you know, we knew -- we knew
- 9 him. It's not like we didn't have some relationship there.
- 10 He was no stranger. And the minority shareholders were
- owners in other companies and thought if it could be done,
- 12 then fine.
- And as far as the projections, I mean, yes. In
- order to get -- secure that insurance, you had to give them
- 15 some kind of projections in order to see them start the
- 16 policy.
- 17 JUDGE CHACHKIN: What did you do to make those
- 18 projections? What was the work you performed to make those
- 19 projections?
- 20 THE WITNESS: They really weren't very scientific.
- 21 If I looked at projected -- we were -- of course, had some
- 22 knowledge about revenue because of the joint sales
- 23 agreement. I knew what the revenues were. It was just a
- 24 projection, just an estimate, I didn't spend any time on it.
- Just had to come up with some kind of an estimate, and I

- 1 probably, as I normally do, I project low because to project
- 2 high you're going to pay too much premium. And if you
- 3 project low, you pay a little lower, but then you get
- 4 adjusted at audit time when they audit your actual revenue.
- 5 And payroll was just a projection based upon -- I
- 6 don't even know really. I probably looked at BYTEs and just
- 7 came up with a -- said radio station, one or two less
- 8 employees and estimated. Almost no time was spent on this
- 9 here. It wasn't very scientific at all because I knew it
- 10 would all come out in an audit by the insurance company.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: So you did all this as a good
- 12 neighbor of Mr. Hick's?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Well, no. Well, not just a good
- 14 neighbor. I mean, we -- we did certainly have a good
- 15 relationship, but --
- 16 JUDGE CHACHKIN: I mean, you do this for other
- 17 competitive broadcast stations, go ahead and make
- 18 projections, get insurance for them, and --
- 19 THE WITNESS: No.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- do like things?
- 21 THE WITNESS: No, I don't do that for other
- 22 stations, but we --
- 23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Have you done it for any other
- 24 station?
- 25 THE WITNESS: That --

- 1 JUDGE CHACHKIN: A competitive station?
- THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I'm wondering why, if you
- 4 weren't a good neighbor, what role were you acting? If you
- 5 weren't an employee of the station, what -- what hat would
- 6 you wear at the time that you did all this?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Well, at this time I was merely -- I
- 8 thought I was wearing a hat of an independent contractor,
- 9 and the accounting services agreement, you know, was just an
- 10 extension of that. I didn't think anything of it.
- 11 And if they -- we did have a joint sales agreement
- with them. We a little bit more relationship than we had
- 13 with a different competitor.
- 14 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, presumably, you didn't do
- 15 such things for Booth.
- 16 THE WITNESS: No, we didn't.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Go ahead, counsel.
- 18 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 19 O Now, with respect to the projected revenue, just
- 20 to make sure I understand, it was your office that prepared
- 21 this projection?
- 22 A Well, I don't know if you can call it prepared the
- 23 projection. It was -- I dictated this letter, yes.
- 24 O Well --
- 25 A I mean, it was no big projection coming up here.

- I mean, it was -- what I may have done, in fact, is look at
- WBYT's number that I had given, and at that time the revenue
- was split 50/50, so I may have even used the same number.
- 4 don't remember. It may not have even been a projection.
- 5 But every year you have to give the insurance company a
- 6 projection for the year.
- 7 Q Was the annual projection that you would make to
- 8 the insurance company done on or around April 1, or had you
- 9 done the insurance projection for WBYT -- or excuse me, at
- 10 that time WLTA -- at an earlier date?
- 11 A I think at that my renewal date was April 1. Yes,
- 12 I believe it was. And, in fact, I would have given the
- insurance company data in probably early February. We had a
- renewal date of either April 1 or May 1. I can't remember.
- 15 It's now June 1, and that's -- that moved back a month or
- 16 two over the last couple of years.
- Q Okay, given that testimony, is there a companion
- letter, if you will, that had been sent to the insurance
- 19 person with respect to WLTA?
- 20 A Oh, yes, but that would have been included in a
- 21 rather lengthy, seven or eight-page thing, showing values of
- 22 each property and addresses and that kind of stuff.
- 23 Q In terms of the -- well, with respect to the
- 24 projected revenue, did you share that figure with anybody at
- 25 Hicks Broadcasting of Indiana, LLC?

- 1 A No.
- Q With respect to the projected payroll, was it your
- 3 office that -- you or your office that came up with this
- 4 figure?
- 5 A It was me.
- 6 Q Did you share that figure with anyone at Hicks
- 7 Broadcasting of Indiana, LLC?
- 8 A No, I -- I didn't feel -- that part wasn't
- 9 necessary because all that was used was an estimate to get
- 10 the insurance started.
- In the end, at the time of audit, they go over
- 12 your revenue and your payroll, and they will adjust the
- 13 premium. You either paid too little or you paid too much.
- And so, therefore, the actual premium for Hicks would have
- been -- they're going to end up paying that, and they're
- 16 going to end up paying --
- 17 Q And they are going to end up paying whatever they
- 18 should pay?
- 19 A -- whatever they should, whatever they should pay,
- 20 right.
- 21 Q You may have mentioned this in responding to the
- 22 judge but I don't recall.
- 23 How did you determine what the projected payroll
- 24 would be?
- 25 A I really don't recall. I just -- my guess is that

- 1 I looked at a typical radio station to see what the payroll
- 2 might be. Might have looked at BYT's and seen what theirs
- is, and taken either the same number or maybe reduced it a
- 4 little bit. I don't know. I'm telling you what I -- the
- 5 process that I think I would have gone through because I can
- assure you there wasn't any time spent on this, very little.
- Well, as far as that goes, the first sentence
- 8 reflects that you had at least one previous discussion with
- 9 this individual who is representing the insurance company.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Do you have any recollection as to whether there
- was one or more discussions, and if so, when they took
- 13 place?
- 14 A I don't recall if there were -- I know there was a
- 15 discussion before this. There wasn't -- I don't know if
- there was more than one or not. There may have been two,
- 17 but certainly one.
- 18 Q And there is nothing that helps you looking at
- this letter to give you an idea as to when any such
- 20 discussion might have occurred?
- 21 A No. No. Probably the -- it could have been some
- 22 time -- you know, some time in the month of March. I mean,
- 23 some time after Dave talked about -- and I talked about
- 24 insurance.
- One thing, I didn't know -- I didn't even know if

- they could be on our -- put on our policy, you know. We, of
- 2 course, were going to split -- allocate the premium
- accordingly, but didn't know if they could be a part of our
- 4 policy, and I'm sure that's what that discussion was about.
- 5 I did have to give them the -- they asked what is the
- 6 ownership of Hicks, and he wanted, they wanted to know that.
- 7 I gave them that information, and I told them about the
- 8 joint operating agreement, the joint sales agreement. And
- 9 with that information, he came back and said okay.
- 10 And then -- and, of course, after he said okay,
- 11 that's when I transmitted this information to him.
- 12 Q If you would please turn to -- it's in Volume 1,
- Exhibit 2, pages 10 and 11. And the particular request and
- 14 response that I want you to look at is No. 39. Just read it
- 15 to yourself.
- 16 (Witness reviews document.)
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thirty-nine, yes.
- 18 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 19 Q Right, and the sentence that I want you to focus
- 20 on is the very last sentence in the response. Again, just
- 21 read it to yourself.
- Now, with respect to payroll checks, were all the
- 23 payroll checks simply Pathfinder checks?
- 24 A Yes. They were Pathfinder checks, and distributed
- 25 to the proper radio station based on how -- how they were

- 1 supposed to -- how Steve Kline told us.
- Q In other words, the check that the employee would
- 3 receive would show that it was a Pathfinder check, but in
- 4 terms of the books, the books would reflect --
- 5 A Exactly.
- 6 Q -- whether the money was paid to a WRBR employee
- 7 versus a WLTA/WBYT employee?
- 8 A Absolutely.
- 9 Q And then if there was an allocation, say 50/50,
- 10 then half of that paycheck would be reflected on the books
- of WRBR and half would be reflected on the books of WLTA?
- 12 A Yes.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: How were the payroll checks
- 14 handled at other stations that the licensee had? Were they
- also done by the accounting department at headquarters?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 17 JUDGE CHACHKIN: And were they also similar to
- 18 WRBR in the sense that they reflected on the books that they
- 19 were part of that -- I mean, was there anything different
- from the procedure used for WRBR and that you used with
- 21 other stations which were part of the corporation in terms
- 22 of your recording checks to the avoid --
- 23 THE WITNESS: No, there was -- there was nothing
- 24 different. In fact, I guess that's why we thought, thought
- 25 it was the most efficient way to do the accounting for that

- 1 to get them paid.
- I mean, we already had the system and we could do
- 3 one payroll instead of two.
- 4 JUDGE CHACHKIN: So you treated them as you would
- 5 any other station license to the Pathfinder in terms of --
- 6 THE WITNESS: As far as them getting paid and
- 7 their payroll check, yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: I see.
- 9 BY MR. SHOOK:
- 10 Q Could you turn, please, to Mass Media Bureau
- 11 Exhibit 73?
- 12 A Are you finished with 67?
- MR. SHOOK: Excuse me, sir.
- Yes, and if I neglected to offer --
- 15 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, let me ask a question about
- 16 that. Would you look at the first paragraph, sir? This is
- 17 your letter?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
- 19 JUDGE CHACHKIN: And you signed it as
- 20 secretary/treasurer of Federated Media, which you've already
- 21 testified to was -- what is that, part of --
- 22 THE WITNESS: It's just a name given to the --
- 23 it's the umbrella name given to Pathfinder Communications
- 24 Corporation and Truth Publishing being under the name.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. The second sentence